



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/689,202	10/20/2003	Kohji Murayama	JP920010391US1	4460
32074	7590	07/28/2006	EXAMINER	
INTERNATIONAL BUSINESS MACHINES CORPORATION			CANNING, ANTHONY J	
DEPT. 18G			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
BLDG. 300-482				2879
2070 ROUTE 52				
HOPEWELL JUNCTION, NY 12533			DATE MAILED: 07/28/2006	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/689,202	MURAYAMA ET AL.	
	Examiner Anthony J. Canning	Art Unit 2879	

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 27 April 2006.
- 2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final.
- 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) Claim(s) 1-5 and 10-14 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.
- 6) Claim(s) 1-5 and 10-14 is/are rejected.
- 7) Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.
- 8) Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) The drawing(s) filed on _____ is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) All b) Some * c) None of:
 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

1) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) <input type="checkbox"/> Interview Summary (PTO-413)
2) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____
3) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>6/22/06</u> .	5) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)
	6) <input type="checkbox"/> Other: _____

DETAILED ACTION

Acknowledgement of Amendment

1. The amendment to the instant application was received and entered on 27 April 2006.

Information Disclosure Statement

2. The information disclosure statement filed 6/22/06 fails to comply with the provisions of 37 CFR 1.97, 1.98 and MPEP § 609 because the information disclosure statement is blank and does not cite any prior references. It has been placed in the application file, but the information referred to therein has not been considered as to the merits. Applicant is advised that the date of any re-submission of any item of information contained in this information disclosure statement or the submission of any missing element(s) will be the date of submission for purposes of determining compliance with the requirements based on the time of filing the statement, including all certification requirements for statements under 37 CFR 1.97(e). See MPEP § 609.05(a).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

4. Claims 1-4 and 10-13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Aziz et al. (U.S. 2002/0135296 A1).

5. Regarding claim 1, Aziz et al. disclose an organic electroluminescent device (paragraph 0010, lines 1-3), including: a substrate (see Fig. 3, item 31; paragraph 0066, lines 7-8); electrodes including a first electrode (see Fig. 3, item 38; paragraph 0066, lines 8-9) formed on the substrate, and a second electrode (see Fig. 3, item 32; paragraph 0066, line 20) disposed to be spaced from the first electrode (see Fig. 3, all items between 32 and 38); a function layer formed between the electrodes, the function layer including a carrier injection layer, a carrier transport layer and a luminous layer (see Fig. 3, item 35; paragraph 0066, lines 11-14); and a buffer layer (see Fig. 3, item 34; paragraph 0064; paragraph 0066, lines 15-16) adjacent to the second electrode (see Fig. 3, items 32 ~~and 34~~^{K.G.}) and disposed to be spaced between the second electrode and a protective film layer (paragraph 0042, page 5, lines 23-30; the cathode is what the examiner refers to as the first electrode, the cathode has a thermal protective layer thereon, therefore the buffer layer is between the second electrode and a protective layer), the buffer layer having a density lower than the density of the luminous layer and the second electrode (page 4, right hand column specifies the buffer layer can be a metal oxide, aluminum oxide is a buffer material commonly used in organic electroluminescent devices, and the luminous layer is hydroxyquinoline aluminum, which is the luminous layer proposed in the specification of the instant application; the second electrode is indium tin oxide [paragraph 0011] which is also given as the electrode material in the specification of the instant application). Therefore, because the materials of the buffer layer, luminous layer and second electrode of the instant application are

the same as those in Aziz et al. the examiner interprets this to mean that the buffer layer's density is lower than that of the luminous layer and the second electrode.

6. Regarding claim 2, Aziz et al. disclose the organic electroluminescent device according to claim 1, wherein the buffer layer is formed in a distance of 20 nm or less from an upper end surface of the function layer (paragraph 0042, lines 65-67). The region (see Fig. 3, item 33, not including item 34) between the buffer layer (see Fig. 3, item 34) and the function layer (see Fig. 3, item 35) can be any thickness between 5 and 500 nm, the lower end of that range falls within the limitation of 20 nm or less.

7. Regarding claim 3, Aziz et al. disclose the organic electroluminescent device according to claim 1, wherein the buffer layer contains an oxide (paragraph 0042, lines 122-123).

8. Regarding claim 4, Aziz et al. disclose the organic electroluminescent device according to claim 1, wherein the buffer layer contains aluminum oxide (paragraph 0042, lines 122-123). Aziz et al. teach that the buffer layer can be made from a metal oxide, which encompasses all metal oxides, including aluminum oxide.

9. Regarding claim 10, Aziz et al. disclose an organic electroluminescent display apparatus including a plurality of organic electroluminescent devices (paragraph 0010, lines 8-10; paragraph 0066, lines 1-3) formed on a substrate (see Fig. 3, item 31; paragraph 0066, lines 7-8), wherein the organic electroluminescent device includes: electrodes including a first electrode adjacent to the substrate (see Fig. 3, items 31 and 38; paragraph 0066, lines 8-9) and a second electrode disposed to be spaced from the first electrode (see Fig. 3, item 38; paragraph 0066, line 20), the second electrode includes an upper electrode layer and a lower electrode layer (paragraph 0074, lines 15-17, layers of conductive carbon or conjugated polymers are interpreted

by the examiner to be upper and lower layers of the electrode); a function layer (see Fig. 3, item 35; paragraph 0066, lines 11-14) formed between the electrodes (see Fig. 3, items 32, 35, and 38), the function layer including a carrier injection layer, a carrier transport layer and a luminous layer (see Fig. 3, item 35; paragraph 0066, lines 13-14; specifically the electron injection layer, the hole carrier transport layer and the luminous layer); and a buffer layer (see Fig. 3, item 34; paragraph 0064; paragraph 0066, lines 15-16) included in the second electrode and disposed between the upper electrode and the lower electrode (see Fig. 3, items between 32, 34 and 38), the buffer layer having a density lower than the density of the luminous layer and the second electrode (page 4, right hand column specifies the buffer layer can be a metal oxide, aluminum oxide is a buffer material commonly used in organic electroluminescent devices, and the luminous layer is hydroxyquinoline aluminum, which is the luminous layer proposed in the specification of the instant application; the second electrode is indium tin oxide [paragraph 0011] which is also given as the electrode material in the specification of the instant application). Therefore, because the materials of the buffer layer, luminous layer and second electrode of the instant application are the same as those in Aziz et al. the examiner interprets this to mean that the buffer layer's density is lower than that of the luminous layer and the second electrode.

10. Regarding claim 11, Aziz et al. disclose the organic electroluminescent display apparatus according to claim 10, wherein the buffer layer is formed in a distance of 20 nm or less from an upper end surface of the function layer (paragraph 0042, lines 65-67). The region (see Fig. 1, item 13, not including item 14) between the buffer layer (see Fig. 1, item 14) and the function layer (see Fig. 1, item 15) can be any thickness between 5 and 500 nm, the lower end of that range falls within the limitation of 20 nm or less.

11. Regarding claim 12, Aziz et al. disclose the organic electroluminescent display apparatus according to claim 10, wherein the buffer layer contains an oxide (paragraph 0042, lines 122-123).

12. Regarding claim 13, Aziz et al. disclose the organic electroluminescent display apparatus according to claim 10, wherein the buffer layer contains aluminum oxide (paragraph 0042, lines 122-123). Aziz et al. teach that the buffer layer can be made from a metal oxide, which encompasses all metal oxides, including aluminum oxide.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

13. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which the subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

14. Claims 5 and 14 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Aziz et al. (U.S. 2002/0135296 A1).

15. Regarding claims 5 and 14, Aziz et al. disclose the organic electroluminescent device according to claims 1 and 10, further including: a thin layer (see Fig. 4, item 47; paragraph 0067, line 8) disposed adjacently to the function layer (see Fig. 4, item 45; paragraph 0067, lines 17-21) and containing any of an alkaline metal element and an alkaline earth metal element (paragraph 0042, lines 132-134). The examiner interprets adjacently to mean near but not necessarily touching. Aziz fails to specifically disclose that the thin layer is approximately 0.5 nm. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention

was made to disclose that the thin layer is approximately 0.5 nm, since it has been held that where the general conditions of a claim are disclosed in the prior art, discovering the optimum or workable ranges involves only routine skill in the art. *In re Aller*, 105 USPQ 233.

Response to Arguments

16. The examiner acknowledges the amendment to claims 1, 5, 10 and 14, and the cancellation of claims 6-9.
17. The claims as amended do not structurally overcome the invention disclosed by Aziz.
18. Applicant's arguments filed 27 April 2006 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. Aziz discloses the invention as structurally claimed. The arguments pertaining to the dark spots are not mentioned in the claims.

Final Rejection

19. **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.** Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event,

however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Contact Information

20. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Anthony J. Canning whose telephone number is (571)-272-2486. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 8:00-4:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Nimesh D. Patel can be reached on (571)-272-2457. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Anthony Canning *ac*

19 July 2006

K.Guharay
7/24/06